

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/831,432	07/30/2001	Rita Koester	H-3540-PCT/U	4209
23657 75	590 06/25/2004		EXAMINER	
COGNIS CORPORATION PATENT DEPARTMENT			OGDEN JR, NECHOLUS	
300 BROOKSIDE AVENUE AMBLER, PA 19002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)	A
		09/831,432	KOESTER ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
,		Necholus Ogden	1751	
Doring 6	The MAILING DATE of this communica	ntion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	;
THE - Extending - If the - If NO - Faile Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor of the period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rication. Iays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AE.	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status	·	•	•	•
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>31 <i>March 2004</i></u> .		
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b))⊠ This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	A'		its is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>14-36</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>14-36</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	·	'	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
а)		cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have been I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	9
Attachmer	nt(s)			•
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	·
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/831,432

Art Unit: 1751

Response to Amendment

Claims 14-36 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hees et al (5,753,606) in view of Haerer et al (5,759,987) is withdrawn in view of applicant's arguments.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Feighner et al (3,539,518).

Feighner et al disclose a dishwashing rinse agent comprising an alkoxylated surfactant of the claimed invention (column 1, lines 42-46; column 2, lines 23-36; column 3, lines 21-51; and examples).

As this reference teaches all of the instantly required it is considered anticipatory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 09/831,432

Art Unit: 1751

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 14-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP (0217732).

EP '732 discloses a clear rinse agent comprising an alkoxylated surfactant, other nonionic surfactants, acids and solvents (pg. 1, lines 7-12 and examples).

Art Unit: 1751

EP '732 does not exemplify each of the claimed components, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the components to specifically teach the claimed invention, absent a showing to the contrary.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Necholus Ogden whose telephone number is 571-272-1322. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T and Th-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on 571-272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Necholus Ogden Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751